

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The building on Greenbelt is totally non compliant.
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	1. Meet our housing need 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Do you really think developers will build affordable housing on prime Greenbelt / greenfield sites. Its niavety in the extreme if you think this is the case, their track record indicates that affordable housing is at the bottom of their list, especially on valuable greenbelt sites that should be protected against the greed of these developers
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 12: Beal Valley
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, It's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 13: Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses)
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, It's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JPA 14: Broadbent Moss
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, it's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so-called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 15: Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers)
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, Its even more pitifull due to the fact we have brown field sites within preoximty of all of these areas but developers wont want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as its to much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will loose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we though our councils sttod for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 16: Cowlshaw
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for devlopers to justify building on Greenbeltwhen they think justified. Tp also encroaches on peoples recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, Its even more pitifull due to the fact we have brown field sites within preoximty of all of these areas but developers wont want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as its to much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will loose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we though our councils sttod for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, It's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.</p> <p>Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places</p> <p>We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, It's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!</p> <p>The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!</p>
Family Name	Mayall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1285774
Title	JPA 18: South of Rosary Road
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details	All brown field sites should be re-developed before consideration is given to using what little Green belt we have left in Oldham.

of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Building on greenbelt destroys wildlife habitat and, sets a precedent for developers to justify building on Greenbelt when they think justified. It also encroaches on people's recreational space and the enjoyment of the semi wild places

We are trying to bring back nature into our urban areas as part of our efforts to tackle global warming and diversify our wildlife - this is just contributing to its destruction, It's even more pitiful due to the fact we have brown field sites within proximity of all of these areas but developers won't want to build their "Executive" 4 bedroom house on these as it's too much trouble!

The people of Greater Manchester will lose all faith in our so called "representative" leadership as this goes against all that we thought our councils stood for!